19-23649-shl Doc 1189-17 Filed 05/28/20 Entered 05/28/20 13:46:44 Exhibit 17

Pg 1 of 2
Message
From:
@PRACTICEFUSION.COM] Sent: 9/2/2015 10:30:30 AM To: Employee #2 @practicefusion.com] Subject: FW: [Pharma Co.x] Meeting Follow up
My takewe were talking to product managers, and they could care less about RWE. For them, this was all about marketing.
The proposal included \$134K for an outcomes study. They didn't see why they would spend \$134K to measure the success of the program. I made it clear that we would measure success (metrics, switches from IR to ER, etc.) and that the \$134K was for outcomes. There wasn't enough of a description around what the outcomes measurement would include. [Employee 25] mentioned a publishable paper, and again, this group had no interest in that.
mentions lowering the budget. I told him after the meeting that we didn't do a good enough job setting up the value before we got to the price page.
It is the data part they don't want to buy. They said the \$700K for messaging was OK, and they are splitting it three ways
@practicefusion.com
From: Employee #5 Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 4:59 PM To: @practicefusion.com>; @practicefusion.com>; Subject: Pharma Co.X Meeting Follow up
Team,
and I met with and the three brand directors for Pharma Co. X and Pharma Co. X to present the Pain RWE. Overall, a positive meeting.
I'll schedule a call tomorrow for us to discuss suggested revisions to the proposal and next steps. They need our final proposa by end of week.
2 Main follow ups
 Price point is a bit high, we may need to shave some off the budget. The brands are contributing equally since this is a non branded effort Detailed graphics on how the program will work within the EMR system. We'll need to get team involved to

create some mock ups for us to show exactly how this program will look in the EMR

Thanks,

Employee #5